

Appl. No. 09/787,632  
Amdt. dated December 8, 2003  
Reply to Office Action of October 16, 2003

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

1 - 6 (Canceled)

~~7~~<sup>2</sup> (Previously Amended) The fungicidal composition of claim ~~22~~<sup>1</sup> further comprising another fungicidal active material.

~~11~~<sup>3</sup> (Previously Amended) The fungicidal composition of claim ~~22~~<sup>1</sup> further comprising an agriculturally suitable inert support and optionally an agriculturally suitable surfactant.

~~12~~<sup>4</sup> (Previously Amended) The fungicidal composition of claim ~~22~~<sup>1</sup> comprising from 0.5 to 99% of the combination of compound (I) and compound (II).

~~13~~<sup>5</sup> (Previously Amended) A process for controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops in an area, which consists in applying a compound (I) and a compound (II) as defined in claim ~~22~~<sup>1</sup> to said area.

Appl. No. 09/787,632

Amdt. dated December 8, 2003

Reply to Office Action of October 16, 2003

6 ~~14~~. (Previously Amended) A process for controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops of the phytophthora and plasmopara genera comprising applying an effective and non-phytotoxic amount of the fungicidal composition of claim ~~22~~<sup>1</sup> onto the crops to be treated.

7 ~~15~~. (Original) A process as claimed in claim ~~14~~<sup>6</sup>, characterized in that the fungicidal composition is applied by spraying a liquid onto the aerial parts of the crops to be treated.

8 ~~16~~. (Previously Amended) The process as claimed in claim ~~14~~<sup>6</sup>, characterized in that the amount of fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (I) of between 10 and 500 g/ha.

9 ~~17~~. (Previously Amended) The process as claimed in claim ~~14~~<sup>6</sup>, characterized in that the amount of fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (II) of between 10 and 500 g/ha.

18. (Canceled)

10 ~~19~~. (Original) The fungicidal composition as claimed in claim ~~7~~<sup>2</sup>, wherein said another fungicidal active material is iprodione.

Appl. No. 09/787,632

Amdt. dated December 8, 2003

Reply to Office Action of October 16, 2003

11 ~~20~~ (Original) The process as claimed in claim ~~16~~<sup>8</sup>, wherein the amount of the fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (I) of between 20 and 300 g/ha.

12 ~~21~~ (Original) The process as claimed in claim ~~17~~<sup>9</sup>, wherein the amount of the fungicidal composition corresponds to a dose of compound (II) of between 20 and 300 g/ha.

~~22~~ (Previously Added) A fungicidal composition comprising a synergistic fungicidally effective amount of:

- A) compound (I), (4-S)-4-methyl-2-methylthio-4-phenyl-1-phenylamino-2-imidazolin-5-one, and
- B) compound (II), N<sup>1</sup>-[(R)-1-(6-fluoro-2-benzothiazolyl)ethyl]-N<sup>2</sup>-isopropoxycarbonyl-L-valinamide,

wherein the ratio of the weight of compound (I) to the weight of compound (II) is in the range of from 5 to 0.5.

13 ~~23~~ (Previously Added) A process for controlling the phytopathogenic fungi of crops of the *Phytophthora infestans* and *Plasmopara viticola* species comprising applying to said species an effective and non-phytotoxic amount of the fungicidal composition comprising:

- A) compound (I), (4-S)-4-methyl-2-methylthio-4-phenyl-1-phenylamino-2-imidazolin-5-one, and

**Appl. N . 09/787,632**

**Amdt. dated December 8, 2003**

**Reply to Office Action of October 16, 2003**

B) compound (II), N<sup>1</sup>-[(*R*)-1-(6-fluoro-2-benzothiazolyl)ethyl]-N<sup>2</sup>-isopropoxycarbonyl-L-valinamide,

wherein the ratio of the weight of compound (I) to the weight of compound (II) is in the range of from 2 to 4 onto the crops to be treated.